

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re Application of:**

Kroliczek et al.

**Serial No.:** 10/676,265

**Filed:** October 2, 2003

**For:** EVAPORATOR FOR A HEAT  
TRANSFER SYSTEM

**Confirmation No.:** 3460

**Examiner:** L. Cric

**Group Art Unit:** 3744

**Attorney Docket No.:** 2507-8637.1US  
(22235-US-07)

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**  
May 20, 2009

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Statement of Substance of Interview is in response to the Interview Summary of May 15, 2009, whose initial period of response is set to expire on June 15, 2009.

A telephonic interview was conducted on May 11, 2009, between Examiner Ljiljana V. Cricic and James R. Duzan, attorney for Applicant. No exhibit was shown, nor was any demonstration conducted. No prior art was discussed.

The Examiner and Attorney James R. Duzan discussed that the dependency of dependent claim 77 was incorrect as depending from claim 66 when it should be depending from claim 74. It was requested that dependent claim 77 should not be examined for an Office Action on the merits regarding claims 1-3, 5-36, 38-66, 74, and 78-85.

An Interview Summary stating the above was mailed to Applicant's attorney on May 15, 2009. 37 C.F.R. § 1.2 and M.P.E.P. § 713.04. A copy of the Interview Summary is attached.

Respectfully submitted,



James R. Duzan  
Registration No. 28,393  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
TRASKBRITT  
P.O. Box 2550  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550  
Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: May 20, 2009

JRD/lmh

Document in ProLaw